From: John Hebert
To: Jennifer Gaines
Subject: Fw: Child Proof Icon
Date: 06/11/2010 01:12 PM
Attachments: dc stamp icon us 1.pdf
dc stamp icon us 3.pdf

what do you think about these? while i'm "ok" with the words they are using i wanted to know if you've been telling anyone else they can't have any icons - or anything above/beyond what is spelled out in the RRM? thanks.

---- Forwarded by John Hebert/DC/USEPA/US on 06/11/2010 01:09 PM -----

From: "Heather Bjornson" < HBjornson@TSGUSA.COM >

To: John Hebert/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/11/2010 10:56 AM Subject: FW: FW: Child Proof Icon

John -I am forwarding Reckitt Benckiser's latest icon for child resistant, would these be acceptable graphics? Regards, Heather R. Bjornson Technology Sciences Group, Inc. 1150 18th Street NW., Ste. 1000 Washington DC 20036 Tel.: 202-828-8945 Fax: 202-872-0745 ----Original Message----From: Ambuter, Hal [mailto:Hal.Ambuter@reckittbenckiser.com] Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 9:48 AM To: Heather Bjornson Subject: FW: FW: Child Proof Icon Heather Modified icons for EPA's review. ~ hal ----Original Message----From: Deshpande, Nikhil Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 5:55 PM To: Ambuter, Hal; Jain, Raj Subject: RE: FW: Child Proof Icon Hal, Attached are the revised safety icons. Can you please review it with the EPA contact and let me know if we can include one of this on our bait stations?

Nikhil Deshpande
Assistant Brand Manager - d-Con

Thanks,

----Original Message----From: Ambuter, Hal Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 9:13 PM To: Deshpande, Nikhil; Jain, Raj Subject: RE: FW: Child Proof Icon We need to submit them for approval ----Original Message----From: Deshpande, Nikhil Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 6:03 PM To: Ambuter, Hal; Jain, Raj Subject: RE: FW: Child Proof Icon Hal, Can we say "Child resistant" on the revised icons? Thanks, Nikhil Deshpande Assistant Brand Manager - d-Con 973-404-2837 ----Original Message----From: Ambuter, Hal Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 2:51 PM To: Jain, Raj; Deshpande, Nikhil Subject: FW: FW: Child Proof Icon Here is response from EPA on icons Let me know how you want to proceed. ~ hal ----Original Message----From: Heather Bjornson [mailto:HBjornson@TSGUSA.COM] Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 10:15 AM To: Ambuter, Hal Subject: FW: FW: Child Proof Icon Hal -Here is John Hebert's response. He would like me to send the revised icons, with the Child resistant" claim to him and he will take them to PRD. Regards, Heather R. Bjornson Technology Sciences Group, Inc. 1150 18th Street NW., Ste. 1000 Washington DC 20036 Tel.: 202-828-8945 Fax: 202-872-0745 ----Original Message----From: Hebert.John@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Hebert.John@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 3:06 PM To: Heather Bjornson Cc: Davis.Kable@epamail.epa.gov Subject: RE: FW: Child Proof Icon

Heather - None of the icons are acceptable. The bait stations are only classified as "resistant". Child-proof implies that no child could access

the bait. We do not allow claims of 100% efficacy with regards to kill claims, access to a bait station, etc. The Rodenticide Mitigation is pretty specific on what type of claim you can make for the level (Tier) of bait station you have.

Let me know if you have other questions.

John

NOTICE

P Please Consider the Environment before printing this Email

This email was sent from within the http://www.reccol.com/ This email (and any attachments or hyperlinks within it) may contain information that is confidential, legally privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not entitled to use, disclose, distribute, copy, print, disseminate or rely on this email in any way. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or email and destroy it, and all copies of it. We have taken steps to ensure that this email (and any attachments) are free from computer viruses and the like. However, it is the recipient's responsibility to ensure that it is actually virus free. Any emails that you send to us may be monitored for the purposes of ascertaining whether the communication complies with the law and our policies.

[alb2c3d4e5f6]





dc_stamp_icon_us_1.pdf dc_stamp_icon_us_3.pdf